

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/637,084	08/04/2003	Peter J. Hardro	83346	9094
23523 7	7590 02/25/2005		EXAMINER	
NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER			MAI, NGOCLAN THI	
DIVISION NE	WPORT L STREET, CODE 000C		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLDG 112T	•		1742	
NEWPORT, F	RI 02841		DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ü
	10/637,084	HARDRO ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replession of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a solution the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communications BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the secondary condition for allowance with the practice under the secondary condition for allowance with the practice under the secondary condition for allowance with the practice under the secondary condition for allowance with the practice under the secondary condition for allowance with the practice under the secondary condition for allowance with the practice under the secondary condition for allowance with the practice under the secondary condition for allowance with the practice under the secondary condition for allowance with the practice under the secondary condition for allowance with the practice under the secondary condition for allowance with the practice under the secondary condition for all the secondary conditions are secondary conditions.	·	•	its is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-10 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject. 	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			121(4)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. Its have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)	,. —		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/637,084 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-3, drawn to composite material, classified in class 75, subclass 245.
 - II. Claims 4-10, drawn to method of forming, classified in class 419, subclass8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by forming a sintering a green compact formed of a mixture of molybdenum powder and copper powder at temperature higher that the melting temperature of copper and lower than the melting of molybdenum to melt copper.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1742

- 4. During a telephone conversation with applicant's attorney Michael Oglo on February 8, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-3. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 4-10 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Osada et al.

Osada et al discloses a heat sink substrate comprising a Cu-Mo composite material composed of a molybdenum green compact having 20-60 wt% or 22-63 vol.% of copper impregnated therein, see abstract and col. 6, lines 60-64. Note that

Application/Control Number: 10/637,084

Art Unit: 1742

molybdenum there is present in the amount of 37-78 vol.%. Osada et al teaches that copper used in the composite can be oxygen free copper, see col. 15, lines 51-59 and the molybdenum powder used has an average particle size of 2-6 microns. Since Osada et al teaches the composite material having molybdenum concentration and average particle size that include the claimed amount and average particle size, i.e., 2-6 microns, the composite material would inherently have the claimed mean free path and mean center to center particle spacing.

"Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established, In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not.' In re Spada, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Therefore, the prima facie case can be rebutted by evidence showing that the prior art products do not necessarily possess the characteristics of the claimed product. In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA I 977)."

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoclan T. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1246. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ngoclan T. Mai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

n.m.